

Effect of a precision feeding strategy applied to groups of pigs in a commercial setting

January 2015

Laetitia CLOUTIER, Geneviève BERTHIAUME, Joël RIVEST, Michel MORIN
Centre de développement du porc du Québec inc., Québec, Canada




Introduction

In commercial facilities pigs are fed in large groups. Using a unique feed for a large group of pigs implies that:

- ⇒ some pigs are underfed = ↓ growth performance
- ⇒ some pigs are overfed = ↑ nutrient wastage

Precision feeding in groups, also known as daily multiphase feeding, is a feeding technique that provides, mostly on a daily basis and to a smaller group of pigs (pen), a feed tailored to the requirements of this smaller group.



Controlled by a computerized feeding system, different mixes of feed can be sent to the different pens in the farm.

How it works? With only 2 feeds, a high and a low nutrient concentration feeds, lots of different levels of nutrients can be given to pigs by mixing those 2 feeds.

Why "in groups"? To reduce excess of nutrients given to pigs, one strategy is to put together pigs having the same nutrient requirements, like pigs of the same sex and/or the same weight.

Materials and Methods

Animals

1008 pigs divided in 24 groups of 42 pigs
Initial live weight (LW) = 24.2 ± 2.9 kg

4 experimental treatments

- Barrows (B) + four-phase feeding (4P)
- Gilts (G) + 4P
- B + Multiphase feeding per group (MPG)
- G + MPG

Pigs were initially divided by weight group (small, medium small, medium large and large pigs).

Feeds

For each group of pigs given the MPG treatment (i.e. combination of weight group and sex), the desired lysine (Lys) concentration was obtained by blending the A and B feeds in different proportions.

Table 1 SID Lysine concentration of feed used in this experiment

SID Lys %	4P treatment				MPG treatment	
	1	2	3	4	A	B
	1.02	0.91	0.78	0.69	1.09	0.49

Objective

Validate the effect of a precision feeding strategy applied to groups of pigs segregated by sex and by weight in a commercial setting.

Results and discussion

Table 2 Growth performances and carcass characteristics of pigs

	Feeding treatment		Sex		Treatment * Sex				P Value		
	4P	MPG	G	B	4P		MPG		Treat.	Sex	Treat.* Sex
					G	B	G	B			
Initial LW (kg)	24.3	24.2	24.0	24.5	24.0	24.5	23.9	24.4	NS	<0.01	NS
ADFI (kg/d)	2.59	2.65	2.49	2.75	2.47	2.72	2.52	2.78	NS	<0.01	NS
ADG (g/d)	934	949	903	980	899	968	907	991	<0.10	<0.01	NS
Feed conversion	2.78	2.79	2.76	2.80	2.74	2.81	2.78	2.80	NS	NS	NS
SID Lys intake (g/d)	20.5	16.7	17.7	19.4	19.4	21.5	16.1	17.3	<0.01	<0.01	NS
Dietary SID Lys (g/kg)	7.89	6.32	7.13	7.07	7.87	7.91	6.40	6.23	<0.01	NS	<0.05
Carcass weight (kg)	106.4	106.5	105.8	107.1	105.8	107.0	105.8	107.2	NS	<0.05	NS
Fat depth (mm)	22.8	22.2	21.5	23.5	21.8	23.8	21.1	23.2	<0.10	<0.01	NS
Muscle depth (mm)	65.1	64.8	66.5	63.4	66.6	63.6	66.4	63.2	NS	<0.01	NS

Table 3 Variation of the feed cost savings of the MPG for the years 2011, 2012 and 2013

Year	Feed cost savings per pig			Price difference
	Average	Maximum	Minimum	Soymeal - corn
2011	\$2.0	\$2.4	\$1.4	\$125
2012	\$3.3	\$4.9	\$1.4	\$225
2013	\$3.8	\$4.7	\$3.1	\$316

Effect of feeding treatments

The MPG treatment when compared to the 4P treatment...
... ↓ Lys intake by 18% (P < 0.001)
... had no impact on ADFI and feed conversion
... tended to have a higher ADG (P = 0.097)

Effect of sex

When compared to barrows, gilts had:
↓ ADFI
↓ ADG
↓ Fat depth

One interaction (treat. * sex) was observed regarding Lys concentration:
MPG gilts > MPG barrows whereas 4P gilts = 4P barrows
⇒ This result shows that MPG can take into account differences relating to feed intake existing between sex by giving higher levels of Lys to gilts considering their lower feed intake.

Economic results

Since no significant effects on ADG and ADFI were observed, impact on production cost can be calculated with reference only to feed costs.
When calculated with Quebec's 2013 feed prices, the MPG strategy reduced feed costs by \$3.80/pig.

However, it is important to know that this economy varies between years and even during a specific year, with the fluctuations of ingredient prices (Table 3). The higher the price difference between soymeal and corn, the higher the savings.



Conclusion

The results of this study show that the **multiphase feeding strategy in groups (MPG)**:

No effect on growth performances

↓ Lys intake

↓ feed costs

As some companies are already offering equipment to implement such a strategy, this feeding strategy can be applied now.

Acknowledgments

This project was made possible with financial assistance from the Ministère de l'Agriculture, des Pêcheries et de l'Alimentation du Québec, the provincial agriculture ministry, under Section C of the Programme d'appui financier aux regroupements et aux associations de producteurs désignés (the funding support program for clusters and designated producer associations).

We also wish to thank Ferme M.L. senc. and Ali-Porc inc. for providing the facilities and the management system needed for the feeding strategy.

Agriculture, Pêcheries
et Alimentation

